# Minutes

# **Transport and Environment Committee**

# 10.00am, Thursday 1 October 2020

#### Present

Councillors Macinnes (Convener), Doran (Vice-Convener), Arthur, Bird, Jim Campbell (substituting for Councillor Smith), Corbett, Key, Lang, Miller, Webber and Whyte.

## 1. Reform of Transport Arm's Length External Organisations

#### Decision

To continue this item to the Transport and Environment Committee meeting of 12 November 2020.

(Reference - report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

#### **Declaration of Interests**

Councillor Macinnes declared a non-financial interest in the above item as Chair of Transport for Edinburgh.

Councillors Doran and Miller declared a non-financial interest in the above item as Directors of Transport for Edinburgh.

# 2. Transport and Environment Committee Work Programme

The Transport and Environment Committee Work Programme was presented.

#### Decision

To note the Work Programme.

(Reference - Work Programme, submitted.)

# 3. Transport and Environment Committee Rolling Actions Log

The Transport and Environment Committee Rolling Actions Log for October 2020 was presented.

#### Decision

- 1) To agree to close the following actions:
  - Action 1 Review of Scientific Services & Mortuary Services
  - Action 2(2) Transport for Edinburgh Strategy 2017-2021 and Lothian Buses Business Plan 2017-2019
  - Action 4(1&2) Public Spaces Protocol
  - Action 7(1&2) Single Use Plastic
  - Action 9(1) Proposed Increase in Scale of Rollout and Amendment to Contract for On-Street Secure Cycle Parking



- Action 18 Viewforth Bridge Update
- Action 19 Grounds Maintenance in the South West Locality
- Action 21 Emergency Motion by Councillor Burgess Waste and 2030 Climate Emergency
- Action 22 Review of Chargeable Garden Waste Service
- Action 23(1&3) Public Transport Priority Action Plan Update
- Action 24 Communal Bin Enhancement Update
- Action 25 The Edinburgh Parks Events Manifesto Update
- Action 28 Motion by Councillor Mowat Summertime Streets Programme
- Action 29(1, 2, 3) Transport and Environment Business Bulletin
- Action 30 Deposit Return Scheme (DRS) for Drinks Containers
- Action 32(1&2) Motion by Councillor Rae Greening the Fringe
- Action 33 - Transport and Environment Business Bulletin
- Action 34(1&4) Evaluation of the 20mph Speed Limit Roll Out
- Action 35(1&2) Household Waste Recycling Centres Update
- Action 36(1&2) Edinburgh's Low Emission Zones Update
- Action 37 Open Streets Programme Progress Report
- Action 38 Place Directorate Financial Monitoring 2019/20 Month Three Position
- Action 39 Roads Services Improvement Plan Update
- Action 41(1&2) Transport and Environment Committee Rolling Actions Log
- Action 42(1&2) Transport and Environment Business Bulletin
- Action 48 Communal Bin Enhancement Update
- Action 49(2) Waste and Cleansing Services Performance Update
- Action 50(1, 2, 3) Summertime Streets Evaluation
- Action 52 Smarter Choices, Smarter Places 2020-21
- Action 53(1&2) Transport and Environment Business Bulletin
- 2) To otherwise note the outstanding actions.

(Reference – Rolling Actions Log, submitted.)

## 4. Transport and Environment Committee Business Bulletin

The Transport and Environment Committee Business Bulletin for October 2020 was presented.

#### Decision

- 1) To agree that a briefing note would be provided with a timeline setting out when taxi ranks would be refreshed.
- To agree that officers would confirm if the Traffic Commissioner could look at commercial vehicles more widely with regard to the Low Emission Zone Scheme.
- 3) To otherwise note the Business Bulletin.

(Reference - Business Bulletin, submitted.)

#### 5. Spaces for People – East Craigs Low Traffic Neighbourhood

#### a) Deputation – Low Traffic Corstorphine

A written deputation was presented on behalf of Low Traffic Corstorphine.

The deputation noted there was good evidence from other places in the UK and Europe that showed it was likely an Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) would bring benefits to East Craigs and west Edinburgh. The deputation acknowledged there had been some opposition to this scheme but hoped Committee would support the trial.

#### b) Deputation – Get Edinburgh Moving

A written deputation was presented on behalf of Get Edinburgh Moving.

The deputation stated that the community remained firmly opposed to the introduction of the East Craigs LTN, especially under a Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO). The deputation requested that the Committee and council officials consider the points raised in the written deputation, and especially Counsel opinion (appended to the deputation) before considering whether it was appropriate to vote in favour of the revised plan at this time.

#### c) Deputation – Corstorphine Community Council

A written deputation was presented on behalf of Corstorphine Community Council.

The deputation stated that Corstorphine Community Council was entirely supportive of the health and safety rationale behind the 'Spaces for People' measures; recognised the intent behind Low Transport Neighbourhoods; continued to advocate for traffic management measures including combating parking and 'rat running' issues; and encouraged provision for cyclists and walkers. The deputation strongly advocated for an exacting Low Emission Zone (LEZ) for the whole City and not the two-tier LEZ that was currently proposed.

#### d) Deputation – Drumbrae Community Council

A written deputation was presented on behalf of Drumbrae Community Council.

The deputation sought to lay out their ongoing concerns in respect of the Low Traffic Neighbourhood proposals impacting the community council area.

The deputation also requested that Committee took stock of the ongoing community opposition to the proposals and halt the East Craigs LTN process in order to consult and engage.

#### e) Ward Councillors

In accordance with Standing Order 32.1, the Convener agreed to hear a presentation from Ward Councillor Aldridge in relation to the Spaces for People – East Craigs Low Traffic Neighbourhood report. Councillor Aldridge advised he was speaking on behalf of all three Ward Councillors. There were key elements that had come through the representations from local people. The first of those was the high number of people who regularly walked, cycled, or wheeled in the area who had asked that Committee withdrew the current revised proposals. Secondly, there were a number of people with disabilities or caring responsibilities who would be affected by the proposals. Thirdly, was the rich quality and volume of constructive, well considered suggestions for improving the community which had been proposed by residents. Councillor Aldridge stated there was a strong desire to participate and find solutions in the local community.

Councillor Aldridge was grateful new proposals had been brought forward but asked Committee to pause and consult before implementing the LTN in East Craigs.

#### f) Report by the Executive Director of Place

Approval was sought to introduce a temporary Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) in East Craigs. The report set out further plans for temporary LTNs and a Quiet Route which were being developed as part of the Spaces for People programme.

#### Motion

- 1) To approve the introduction of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) in East Craigs, as set out in the report and in Appendix 2 of the report.
- 2) To note the other LTN and Quiet Route proposals which were being developed would return to the Transport and Environment Committee for approval in the future.
- 3) To note that developing schemes would be informed by ongoing reviews and would take into account other interacting projects.
- 4) To welcome the changes made to the original East Craigs Low Traffic Neighbourhood proposals to better reflect local residents' concerns.
- 5) To recognise that Low Traffic Neighbourhoods could be a valuable component of a city-wide transport network, particularly for keeping through traffic away from primarily residential housing areas and facilities such as schools where improved safety conditions were desired. To request a widening of the proposed eastbound bus gate operational hours to 15.00 -18.30, as well as the proposed 07.30 - 09.30 morning time period, to better reflect school hours and reduce traffic volumes during these times.

- 6) To request further explanation, directly to Committee members and stakeholders, of possible traffic calming measures to be employed on Craigs Crescent and Craigs Avenue. To recognise that Spaces for People measures were many and varied and were therefore at different stages of implementation and monitoring.
- 7) To note the intention to bring some schemes back for review and information to the next Transport and Environment Committee and that this was expected, for different schemes, at each Transport and Environment Committee for the duration of the initiative.
- moved by Councillor Macinnes, seconded by Councillor Doran

#### Amendment 1

- 1) To recognise that revised proposals had been developed and were shown in Appendix 2 of the report.
- 2) To note that these indicated arbitrary alterations to the original plans.
- 3) To note that the local community and the residents living within the Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) area continued to have many unanswered questions.
- 4) To note the overwhelming opposition to the scheme from those living within the LTN area and local stakeholders
- 5) To note that there was no reference within the West Edinburgh Link consultation documents of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood for East Craigs.
- 6) To note with concern that the West Edinburgh Link Consultation was being used as evidence of wide spread approval for this scheme.
- 7) To agree that the scheme should not be progressed unless or until a redesign was in place that gained local support and therefore requested a full, comprehensive consultation with the local community that would include:
  - a. road safety audits.
  - b. equalities impact assessments.

c. plans for significant improvements to current path network in East Craigs.

- d. prioritised the views of residents living within the proposed area.
- 8) To further agree that other LTN and Quiet Route proposals should be subject to the same level of public consultation before the final plans were brought to Committee for final decision.
- 9) To establish clarification of the power of the Council to establish LTNs under emergency arrangements.
- moved by Councillor Webber, seconded by Councillor Lang

#### Amendment 2

- 1) To approve the introduction of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) in East Craigs, as set out in the report and in Appendix 2 of the report.
- 2) To note the other LTN and Quiet Route proposals which were being developed would return to the Transport and Environment Committee for approval in the future.
- 3) To note that developing schemes would be informed by ongoing reviews and would take into account other interacting projects.
- 4) To welcome the changes made to the original East Craigs Low Traffic Neighbourhood proposals to better reflect local residents' concerns.
- 5) To recognise that Low Traffic Neighbourhoods could be a valuable component of a city-wide transport network, particularly for keeping through traffic away from primarily residential housing areas and facilities such as schools where improved safety conditions were desired. To request a widening of the proposed eastbound bus gate operational hours to 15.00 -18.30, as well as the proposed 07.30 - 09.30 morning time period, to better reflect school hours and reduce traffic volumes during these times.
- 6) To request further explanation, directly to Committee members and stakeholders, of possible traffic calming measures to be employed on Craigs Crescent and Craigs Avenue. To recognise that Spaces for People measures were many and varied and were therefore at different stages of implementation and monitoring.
- 7) To note the intention to bring some schemes back for review and information to the next Transport and Environment Committee and that this was expected, for different schemes, at each Transport and Environment Committee for the duration of the initiative.
- 8) To note the long-established benefit of permanent LTN measures which had protected the Bughtlin neighbourhood from through traffic from Maybury Road.
- 9) To instruct measurements to be conducted on the A8, A902 and B701 and the junctions of these roads, and the streets within the LTN throughout the temporary period to enable analysis and identification of possible effects including congestion, volume, evaporation and modal shift.
- moved by Councillor Miller, seconded by Councillor Corbett

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 2 was accepted as an addendum to the motion.

#### Voting

For the motion (as adjusted) - 7 votes

For amendment - 4 votes

(For the motion (as adjusted): Councillors Arthur, Bird, Corbett, Doran, Key, Macinnes and Miller.

For the amendment: Councillors Jim Campbell, Lang, Webber and Whyte.)

#### Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Macinnes:

- 1) To approve the introduction of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) in East Craigs, as set out in the report and in Appendix 2 of the report.
- To note the other LTN and Quiet Route proposals which were being developed would return to the Transport and Environment Committee for approval in the future.
- 3) To note that developing schemes would be informed by ongoing reviews and would take into account other interacting projects.
- 4) To welcome the changes made to the original East Craigs Low Traffic Neighbourhood proposals to better reflect local residents' concerns.
- 5) To recognise that Low Traffic Neighbourhoods could be a valuable component of a city-wide transport network, particularly for keeping through traffic away from primarily residential housing areas and facilities such as schools where improved safety conditions were desired. To request a widening of the proposed eastbound bus gate operational hours to 15.00 -18.30, as well as the proposed 07.30 - 09.30 morning time period, to better reflect school hours and reduce traffic volumes during these times.
- 6) To request further explanation, directly to Committee members and stakeholders, of possible traffic calming measures to be employed on Craigs Crescent and Craigs Avenue. To recognise that Spaces for People measures were many and varied and were therefore at different stages of implementation and monitoring.
- 7) To note the intention to bring some schemes back for review and information to the next Transport and Environment Committee and that this was expected, for different schemes, at each Transport and Environment Committee for the duration of the initiative.
- 8) To note the long-established benefit of permanent LTN measures which had protected the Bughtlin neighbourhood from through traffic from Maybury Road.
- 9) To instruct measurement to be conducted on A8, A902 and B701 and the junctions of these roads, and the streets within the LTN throughout the temporary period to enable analysis and identification of possible effects including congestion, volume, evaporation and modal shift.

In accordance with Standing Order 30.1, the decision was referred to Council for approval.

(References – Policy and Sustainability Committee on 20 August 2020 (item 14); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

#### **Declaration of Interests**

Councillor Whyte declared a non-financial interest in the above item as a resident near the proposed Low Traffic Neighbourhood Network.

# 6. West Edinburgh Link - Gogarloch and South Gyle Proposed Residents' Priority Parking Area

The West Edinburgh Link (WEL) project aimed to transform the quality of cycling, walking, public spaces and accessibility for all within and around one of Scotland's key business parks in the west of Edinburgh. Approval was sought for the introduction of a residents' Priority Parking Area in Gogarloch and South Gyle to complement the above changes.

#### Motion

- 1) To note that the Executive Director of Place had given approval under Delegated Authority to commence the statutory procedures to make the necessary Traffic Regulation Order and Redetermination Order for most of the changes proposed as part of the West Edinburgh Link project, as described in the report.
- 2) To approve commencing the legal process to introduce a residents' Priority Parking Area in the Gogarloch and South Gyle area.
- 3) To approve setting permit charges as detailed in Appendix 1 of the report.

- moved by Councillor Macinnes, seconded by Councillor Doran

#### Amendment

- To note that the Executive Director of Place had given approval under Delegated Authority to commence the statutory procedures to make the necessary Traffic Regulation Order and Redetermination Order for the changes proposed as part of the West Edinburgh Link project.
- 2) To note the title of the report presented today and to therefore exclude

a. the removal of one of the southbound traffic lanes on Wester Hailes Road.

- b. changes to parking restrictions along Harvesters Way.
- 3) To approve commencing the legal process to introduce a residents Priority Parking Area in Gogarloch and South Gyle area.
- 4) To recommend further work to permit changes as detailed in Appendix 1 of the report be undertaken in respect of the proposed residents' Priority Parking Area timings of 1000–1130 on Mondays to Fridays based on significant opposition within resident feedback.

- moved by Councillor Webber, seconded by Councillor Whyte

#### Voting

For the motion - 7 votes

For amendment - 4 votes

(For the motion: Councillors Arthur, Bird, Corbett, Doran, Key, Macinnes and Miller. For the amendment: Councillors Jim Campbell, Lang, Webber and Whyte.)

#### Decision

To approve the motion by Councillor Macinnes.

(References – Transport and Environment Committee on 17 May 2018 (item 8); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

#### **Declaration of Interests**

Councillor Webber declared a non-financial interest in the above item as a Board Member of Wester Hailes Land and Property Development Company Limited.

# 7. Bus Priority Rapid Development Fund

Details were provided of the early actions taken by the Council using the Bus Priority Rapid Development (BPRD) fund to support the efficient operation of the city's bus network on a local and regional level working very closely with local bus operators and other local and regional authorities. Details were also provided on the proposed changes to the processes for consulting upon, and advertising, traffic and other orders promoted by the Council to support delivery of the BPRD programme

#### Motion

- 1) To recognise the changes which COVID-19 had made to people's everyday lives and that many of the changes would remain in place for the foreseeable future.
- 2) To recognise the importance of public transportation to the development of plans for the city to adapt and renew in response to COVID 19 and note that schemes which enabled people to be physically distant and safe when using public transport in the city would be central to this response.
- 3) To note that the Scottish Government had announced a national Bus Priority Rapid Development (BPRD) fund for local authorities with the key aim of targeting areas which were likely to suffer congestion, once COVID-19 restrictions were lifted and to implement temporary bus priority measures, to improve bus journey times and make services more reliable.
- 4) To note that following a successful application to the BPRD fund from the City of Edinburgh Council on behalf of neighbouring City Deal local authorities, the Scottish Government had allocated £1,203,120 to support the delivery of local bus priority schemes across the region.
- 5) To note the criteria used to identify temporary bus priority infrastructure schemes (as set out in Appendix 1 of the report) and note the intention to continue to discuss approaches and policies with Transport Scotland and local bus operators.
- 6) To agree that following notification to local ward Councillors on specific schemes, to delegate authority to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader and Depute Leader of the Council, to implement temporary schemes based on the criteria approved at 1.1.5 and note that these would be reported to Transport and Environment Committee regularly.
- 7) To approve the measures detailed in Appendix 2 of the report on how traffic orders would be communicated to stakeholders during the ongoing COVID19 lockdown.

- moved by Councillor Macinnes, seconded by Councillor Doran

#### Amendment

- 1) To recognise the changes which COVID-19 had made to people's everyday lives and that many of the changes would remain in place for the foreseeable future.
- 2) To recognise the importance of public transportation to the development of plans for the city to adapt and renew in response to COVID 19 and note that schemes which enabled people to be physically distant and safe when using public transport in the city would be central to this response.
- 3) To note that the Scottish Government had announced a national Bus Priority Rapid Development (BPRD) fund for local authorities with the key aim of targeting areas which were likely to suffer congestion, once COVID-19 restrictions were lifted and to implement temporary bus priority measures, to improve bus journey times and make services more reliable.
- 4) To note that following a successful application to the BPRD fund from the City of Edinburgh Council on behalf of neighbouring City Deal local authorities, the Scottish Government had allocated £1,203,120 to support the delivery of local bus priority schemes across the region.
- 5) To note the criteria used to identify temporary bus priority infrastructure schemes (as set out in Appendix 1 of the report) and note the intention to continue to discuss approaches and policies with Transport Scotland and local bus operators.
- 6) To agree that notification would be made to local ward Councillors and local stakeholder groups to permit a short period of consultation that would validate and identify any immediate and or significant issues.
- 7) To agree that should any issue identified or raised during the short period of consultation be determined as being of significant public concern, objections raised by 50% or more of the local ward councillors or having political or controversial consequences, then the temporary scheme should be referred to the appropriate Executive Committee (Transport and Environment or Policy and Sustainability as dates permitted) to expedite and permit elected member scrutiny prior to approval.
- 8) Thereafter delegated authority to the Executive Director of Place to implement the temporary schemes and notes that these would be reported to Transport and Environment Committee on a 2-cycle basis to permit further scrutiny, review, improvement and revision.

Renumbers 1.1.7 as 1.1.9

- moved by Councillor Weber, seconded by Councillor Jim Campbell

#### Voting

For the motion	-	7 votes
For amendment	-	4 votes

(For the motion: Councillors Arthur, Bird, Corbett, Doran, Key, Macinnes and Miller. For the amendment: Councillors Jim Campbell, Lang, Webber and Whyte.)

#### Decision

To approve the motion by Councillor Macinnes.

(Reference - report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

#### **Declaration of Interests**

Councillor Macinnes declared a non-financial interest in the above item as Chair of Transport for Edinburgh.

Councillors Doran and Miller declared a non-financial interest in the above item as Directors of Transport for Edinburgh.

# 8. City Mobility Plan – Public Consultation and Engagement Key Messages and Next Steps

Details were provided on the analysis and consideration of the feedback submitted on the proposals and policies set out in the City Mobility Plan (CMP) – Draft for Consultation.

#### Decision

- To note that engagement with stakeholders and the public during January to April 2020 (one month additional to that approved by the Transport and Environment Committee) on the emerging City Mobility Plan (CMP) had resulted in some 1,800 representations to the 'City Mobility Plan – Draft for Consultation', including comments made in drop in sessions, meetings and workshops.
- 2) To note the consultation responses.
- 3) To agree that consideration of the responses to the consultation and a finalised Plan be brought back to Committee early next year.

(References – Transport and Environment Committee on 16 January 2020 (item 1); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

## 9. Roads and Transport Infrastructure Improvement Plan

Details were provided on progress that had been made in delivering the outstanding actions contained within the Roads Improvement Plan. An update was also provided on implementation of the new organisational structure. Details were also provided on the new actions, within the new Roads and Transport Infrastructure Improvement Plan, that had been developed in conjunction with the implementation of the new organisational structure.

#### Decision

- 1) To note the contents of the report and the positive progress made to date.
- 2) To note the significant progress on completing the majority of the Roads Improvement Plan actions as detailed in Appendix 1 of the report.

- 3) To agree the new Roads and Transport Infrastructure Improvement Plan as detailed in Appendix 4 of the report.
- 4) To note that the new Network Management and Enforcement Services Improvement Plan would be submitted to the Transport and Environment Committee for approval at a future meeting.
- 5) To agree that individual briefings would be offered to Committee on the Roads and Transport Organisational Structure.

(Reference – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

# 10. Revenue Monitoring Update – 2019/2020 Provisional out-turn and 2020/2021 Month three position

The Committee considered a report which set out the provisional out-turn for the 2019/2020 financial year and the projected month three revenue monitoring position for Place Directorate. This was based on the unaudited annual accounts for 2019/2020 in respect of the provisional out-turn and for the month three forecast, an analysis of actual expenditure and income to the end of June 2020 with expenditure and income projections for the remainder of the 2020/2021 financial year.

#### Decision

- To note that the overall Place provisional revenue out-turn for 2019/2020 was a £6.996m overspend including costs attributable to Covid-19 and £5.345m when Covid-19 net costs were excluded. Services within the remit of the Committee delivered provisional out-turn overspends in 2019/2020 of £3.876m excluding Covid-19 impacts.
- 2) To note that the overall Place revenue budget month three position for the 2020/2021 financial year was a projected £3.020m overspend (excluding Covid19 impact). Services within the remit of the Committee were forecasting an overspend of £0.95m (excluding Covid-19 impact), which represented the 2020/2021 savings delivery risk.
- 3) To note that General Fund Covid-19 costs of c. £29m in addition to pressures set out at 1.1.2 had been forecast for the overall Place Directorate at month three with circa £18m relating to services within the remit of the Committee.
- 4) To note that the Executive Director of Place was taking measures to reduce budget pressures and progress would be reported to Committee at agreed frequencies.

(References – Finance and Resources Committee on 27 August 2020 (item 3); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

# 11. Motion by Councillor Lang - Spaces for People Online Consultation

The following motion by Councillor Kevin Lang was submitted in terms of Standing Order 16:

"Committee:

- Notes that the Council, in conjunction with Sustrans, launched the online Commonplace tool on 29 May for people to share suggestions for creating safer spaces for walking, cycling and wheeling safely; and that the portal closed to comments on 29 June.
- 2) Expresses its thanks to all those who submitted over 4,000 comments through this process, and believes this level of feedback places an important responsibility on the Council to explain what action has been taken in response to comments received.
- 3) Notes that, at the Policy & Sustainability Committee of 20 August, officers confirmed the analysis of the public comments was "nearing conclusion".
- 4) Is concerned that, four months on from the launch of the portal, there has been no detailed report to councillors on the conclusions drawn or projects being progressed as a direct result of this consultation exercise.
- 5) Seeks a report at the November 2020 meeting of the Transport and Environment Committee, providing the analysis of the feedback received and a definitive list of projects which have been implemented or are proposed to be taken forward through the Spaces for People process as a result of the comments received.
- 6) Agrees the report should highlight suggestions which received significant support but did not fit the criteria for Spaces for People, and what options exist to progress these ideas through other active travel project streams."

#### Motion

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Lang:

- Notes that the Council, in conjunction with Sustrans, launched the online Commonplace tool on 29 May for people to share suggestions for creating safer spaces for walking, cycling and wheeling safely; and that the portal closed to comments on 29 June.
- 2) Expresses its thanks to all those who submitted over 4,000 comments through this process, and believes this level of feedback places an important responsibility on the Council to explain what action has been taken in response to comments received.
- 3) Notes that, at the Policy & Sustainability Committee of 20 August, officers confirmed the analysis of the public comments was "nearing conclusion".
- 4) Is concerned that, four months on from the launch of the portal, there has been no detailed report to councillors on the conclusions drawn or projects being progressed as a direct result of this consultation exercise.
- 5) Notes that there is an intention to bring a report to the November 2020 meeting of the Transport and Environment Committee detailing the analysis of the feedback received and providing a list of those current and proposed schemes which reflect that feedback. Recognises that the feedback will remain relevant to development or refinement of schemes as the Spaces for People initiative progresses

- 6) Agrees the report should highlight suggestions which received significant support but did not fit the criteria for Spaces for People, and what options exist to progress these ideas through other active travel project streams."
- moved by Councillor Lang, seconded by Councillor Webber

#### Decision

To approve the adjusted motion by Councillor Kevin Lang.